IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.877 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25116 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.879 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25123 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.881 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25126 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.883 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25129 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.885 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25134 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.887 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25143 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.889 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25146 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.891 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25150 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.893 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25154 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.895 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25157 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.897 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25163 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.899 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25168 OF 2005
WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.902 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25177 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.904 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25183 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.906 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25187 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.908 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25191 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.910 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25203 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.912 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25208 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.914 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25212 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.916 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25543 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.918 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25548 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.920 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25552 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.922 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25557 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.924 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25564 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.926 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25583 OF 2005

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.928 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25587 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.930 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25594 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.932 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25597 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.934 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25602 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.936 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25610 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.938 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25616 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.940 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25656 OF 2005
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.942 OF 2006
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO.25664 OF 2005

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's Orders or directions and Registrar's Orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders.

Mr.G.P.Mulekar, AGP, for the Applicant-State in Civil Application Nos.877 of 2006 to 916 of 2006.

Mr.R.S.Khadapkar, AGP, for the

Applicant - State in Civil Application Nos.918 of 2006 to 942 of 2006.

CORAM : D.G.DESHPANDE,
SMT.R.S.DALVI, JJ.
DATED : 13th November 2006

P.C.

1. The group of all these matters is on board for deciding the applications for condonation of delay filed by the State. There is delay of 161 days in all these matters. The Respondents have been served. In the 1st matter Ms.P.H.Potnis appears for the respondents. She has not filed any reply to this application. The learned AGP for the applicant states that the State has filed affidavit of service in other matters. The same is taken on record. Nobody is present for the respondents. Heard

the learned AGP for the State. The delay is satisfactorily explained. Therefore, the delay is condoned in all matters. All Civil Applications for condonation of delay are allowed. Put up all the appeals for admission after two weeks.

[D.G.DESHPANDE,J]

[SMT.R.S.DALVI,J]